

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/039,999	10/24/2001	Derek K. Gauger	GDK-100-B	9338	
7590 YOUNG & BASILE, P.C.			EXAMINER		
Suite 624			VIG, NARESH		
3001 West Big Troy, MI 4808			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
•			3629		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/25/2000	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/039,999	GAUGER, DEREK	K.		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	NARESH VIG	3629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 17 February 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.			
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods: The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit pal (with appeal fee) in compliance of FR 1.114. The reply must be filed of of the final rejection.	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or within one of the follow	rhich places the (3) a Request ving time		
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is 					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE				
Extension of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been so filter may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been so filter may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.13(a) is calculated from (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (a) above. It checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 tension and the corresponding amount of thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as		
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further continuous files.	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause		
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the		
7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		be entered and an e	oplanation of		
Claim(s) rejected: 1-6,9,34,41 and 52-59. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	I and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	try is below or attach	ed.		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	Maria NOT also the seed of the				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

13. Other: _____.

/Naresh Vig/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3629

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

Applicant has added limitations

- defining collaboration approved individuals for access to tlae collaboration center by the authorized individuals;
- defining at least one collaboration in the collaboration center associated with the at least one project to facilitate resolution of at least
 one of a project issue and a project problem, the at least one collaboration including a collaboration leader, a collaboration topic, a
 collaboration status and at least one of a text based collaboration summary, and a text based collaboration status statement;
- defining access to the collaboration by collaboration a pproved individuals;
- the collaboration containing and allowing access to all information relating to the the collaboration as a central .point of collaboration information

which raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search